Determining the reliability of a dry, wireless EEG system

Overview

Electroencephalography (EEG) is used to record electric potentials on the scalp from the summed activity of many neurons in the brain.

Traditional EEG systems have considerable limitations, including the inability to analyze large motor tasks such as gait, that dry, wireless EEG systems may ameliorate. However, it remains unknown if the dry wireless system produces a similar signal as the wet wired system across different tasks.

We hypothesize that the Cognionics HD-72 dry, wireless headset will obtain a comparable signal to that of the wet, wired Biosemi.

Participants and Task

Three healthy, right-handed volunteers aged 19 to 21.

Two electromyography (EMG) sensors were placed on each participant, one on the first dorsal interosseous (FDI) and one on the extensor digitorum communis (EDC). EMG and EEG data were collected for each participant using MotionMonitor® under three conditions:

- Rest: The participant focused his or her eyes on a specific point without auditory cues.
- Listen: The participants remained still while listening to a series of acoustic tones (50 ms, 500 Hz, 80 dB).
- Move: The participants then tapped their finger with the acoustic tones.

These conditions were then completed for two separate collections, one with the Biosemi system at 2048 Hz and one with the HD-72 headset at 300 Hz.

Signal Processing:

- rejected.
- condition.
- bands.



Statistical Analysis:

- distribution.



Steve Heinisch, Zoe Kendall, Samantha Morris Mentors: Andrew Zaman, Elizabeth Stegemöller Ph.D

Data Analysis

 The kinematic position data were run through an automated program to compute amplitude and intermovement interval (IMI).

 EEG signals gathered from both systems were run through ElectroMagnetic Source Estimation (EMSE), which applied Laplacian, High-Pass (70 Hz), Low-Pass (0 Hz), and Bandstop filters (55-65Hz).

 Movement onset was marked using EMG and position signals, and data were epoched (-500ms to 500ms) relative to movement onset.

• Epochs with eye blinks and any extraneous noise were

• Epochs were averaged across each condition (Rest, Listen, Move).

• A Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) was completed for each

• The power spectra were normalized so total power in each spectrum was equal to 1 and then summed for each participant across each condition. Frequencies of interest are the alpha (8-12 Hz) and beta (13-30 Hz)



Figure 1. Traditional wired and dry wireless EEG systems.

 To compare peak amplitude and IMI, a paired t-test was completed for each participant.

• To analyze spectra between systems, the mean spectrum of each condition was divided by the mean spectrum of the other system, resulting in an F

 95th percentile confidence limits were obtained from an F table using the total number of frequencies (1-40 Hz) as the degrees of freedom.

• Any value below or above these limits designated a significant difference between spectra (Fig. 2). • Significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.







Potential sources of error include: (1) Movement variability; (2) Manual sychronization of triggers during dry, wireless collection; (3) Small sample size. There is not sufficient evidence to conclude that the dry wireless system and the wet wired system produce comparable signals. Continued research is needed to validate the use of the dry wireless system for more complex movement tasks.



across frequencies is shown for the three conditions for each subject. Any value above or below the dashed line (F statistic = 1.59 and 0.41) represents a significant difference between comparisons at the p < 0.05 level.

different or similar.

